

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/734,849	12/13/2000	Kazuya Koyama	070639/0133	4741
22428	7590 11/29/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER			SHRADER, LAWRENCE J	
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	FT NW		ART UNIT	· PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			2124	

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2 2	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/734,849	KOYAMA, KAZUYA				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lawrence Shrader	2124				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a stimely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I						
(c) \(\times \) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceNOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment				
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		sidered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed:		•				
	1 - 20	~				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1, 4-4, 9, 10, 12, 17, 20 ~. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2, 3, 7	26, 29, 30, and 33-38					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2+3,7	, 8, 11, 13-16, 18, 19, 27	,28,31, and 32				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·································				
10. Other:		ANIL KHATRI				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant's request for reconsideration has been considered. However, further consideration and searching will be required to properly resolve the agruments to determine if the application is in condition for allowance.